

It is the Scrutiny Committee's job to function as a unit to hold Full-time and Part-time officers accountable to ensure that they are completing their work to the highest standard for students to gain from them in their positions as representatives. The following literature follows the Scrutiny process for the Part time officers and highlights key areas for improvement as well as their triumphs this far into the academic year. As a committee, we would like to thank the officers for their hard work so far as they are making necessary changes to help students gain the best university experience possible. It should be noted that Part-time officers work alongside their studies with less resources and time than Full-time officers and the process of scrutiny is adjusted accordingly in this document.

Mattie Simpson (LGBT+ Officer 2023/24)

Mattie presents clear objectives with obvious passion for their role. They have great ideas for the development of representation and accessibility for their cohort with objectives like HIV testing on campus and the changing of restrictive pronouns to the more broad and suitable terminology 'they' in governance documents. The changing of pronoun usage in governance documents, brought to the SU President Archie Robinson, allows for more inclusivity for both students and staff. Mattie also lobbies for the University to remove the need for RADAR keys on accessible facilities- a great initiative.

Scrutiny notes that regarding the accessible facilities and RADAR key issue, the issue does not have to have student council policy approval and should be put together as a campaign to put pressure on the University as soon as they can. We also encourage that Mattie seeks support from charities in regard to funding for sanitary bins. As a truly relevant issue, the transgender exclusion policy is being addressed by Mattie and other officers, however, little visible progress has been made considering the University offers a free legal advice clinic- the current time frame for this is too long and we expect to see the open letter complete and sent soon. Many of Matties projects require more detailing and feasibility assessments for the next round of scrutiny as their projects seem to be slow going or stationary. It is helpful to follow SMART goals to assist in our process and provide more details to us as a committee. Matties great HIV testing project would have been better executed for students had the campaigning and awareness been more visible, a lesson we hope they consider for future projects.

Mattie clearly listens to the needs of the students they represent and has great ideas to implement with proper planning. Scrutiny has awarded Mattie a 'provisional pass' on the terms that they provide more detail for their ongoing projects and give slow going projects another push to meet their cohorts expectations of them.

Thank you for taking the time to read this and should you have any questions please feel free to email me at yb154@student.le.ac.uk.