

**It is the Scrutiny Committee's job to function as a unit to hold Full-time and Part-time officers accountable to ensure that they are completing their work to the highest standard for students to gain from them in their positions as representatives. The following literature follows the Scrutiny process for the Part time officers and highlights key areas for improvement as well as their triumphs this far into the academic year. As a committee, we would like to thank the officers for their hard work so far as they are making necessary changes to help students gain the best university experience possible. It should be noted that Part-time officers work alongside their studies with less resources and time than Full-time officers and the process of scrutiny is adjusted accordingly in this document.**

### **Ruchita Doddamani (Women's Officer 2023/24)**

Ruchita's goals would produce valuable outcomes for women on campus who feel unsafe, are in a position of vulnerability and need support from a representative. Initiatives such as free self-defence classes are motivated by relevance and are ambitious in the capacity of a Part-time officer. Ruchita's plans to host an information desk was a great initiative to make themselves known and build a relationship face to face with their community. Their idea to improve lighting on campus is a fantastic way to lobby for the safety of students on campus.

Scrutiny would've wanted Ruchita to take more of an active role in their position as tasks such as finding and employing self-defence coaches were given to Joe, the Full-time sports officer, who has many responsibilities of their own. We also raise the question of whether leaflets are effective given that they mirror the same information given to students in the W/Cs of almost every building on campus. Ruchita's report lacked details of next steps and progress which left a lot of room for questions regarding their projects and plans. It would have been great to have highlighted to roadblocks they are facing in their report to help us understand why little progress has been made. As far as Scrutiny is aware, lighting on campus has not been improved so we would like to hear more about this initiative and its success.

Ruchita receives a 'fail' as Scrutiny has seen little progress on their projects and a small number of projects in motion. It would have been great for Ruchita to provide the details we asked for above. Some small projects to work on and communicate with more women on campus could have benefitted them in the role to ensure their community is being represented consistently.

**Thank you for taking the time to read this and should you have any questions please feel free to email me at [yb154@student.le.ac.uk](mailto:yb154@student.le.ac.uk).**